

# Interaction region of $\mathbf{c\tau}$ project

Bogomyagkov Anton

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics,  
Novosibirsk



# Parameters for interaction region

Energy, GeV	2
Beam current, A	1.36
Number of bunches	295
$\beta_x$ , mm	20
$\beta_y$ , mm	0.76
$\varepsilon_x$ , nm rad	10
<b>Coupling <math>\varepsilon_y/\varepsilon_x</math>, %</b>	<b>1</b>
Beam length $\sigma_z$ , cm	1
Crossing angle, mrad	34

<b>Tune shift <math>\xi_y</math></b>	<b>0.13</b>
Particles per bunch	$7 \cdot 10^{10}$
Luminosity, $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$	$1 \cdot 10^{35}$
Hour glass $\frac{\sigma_x}{\theta\beta_y}$	1.095
Piwinski angle $\varphi = \frac{\sigma_z\theta}{\sigma_x}$	12

- ❖ No bend for incoming beam.
- ❖ No longitudinal field integral over each final focus lens.
- ❖ Longitudinal field is compensated before each final focus lens.
- ❖ Interaction region length less than 100 m.
- ❖ Place for CRAB sextupole.

# Blocks of interaction region




*SIR experimental region*

*Crab sextupole and beta chromaticity correction*

*Unix version 8.51/15*

*15/09/08*

*15.01.34*



$\delta_E / p_0 c = 0.000000E+00$

*Table name = TWISS*

# Why telescope

① Map:  $R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  Twiss transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= R_{11}^2 \beta_0 \\ \alpha &= \alpha_0 = 0 \\ \gamma &= R_{22}^2 \gamma_0\end{aligned}$$

② Simple formulae for chromaticities and  $d\beta/d\delta = 0$ :

$$R_{11}(\delta) = R_{11} + T_{116}\delta + U_{1166}\delta^2$$

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\delta} = \frac{T_{126}}{\beta_0 R_{11}}$$

$$\frac{d^2\mu_x}{d\delta^2} = \frac{2U_{1266}}{\beta_0 R_{11}} - 2\frac{T_{126}T_{116}}{\beta_0 R_{11}^2}$$

$$\beta = R_{11}\beta_0 + \delta \left[ 2R_{11}T_{116}\beta_0 \right] + \delta^2 \left[ (T_{116}^2 + 2R_{11}U_{1166})\beta_0 + \frac{T_{126}^2}{\beta_0} \right]$$

$$\alpha = \delta \left[ -R_{11}T_{216}\beta_0 - \frac{T_{126}R_{22}}{\beta_0} \right] + \delta^2 \left[ -\beta_0 \left( R_{11}U_{2166} + T_{116}T_{216} \right) - \gamma_0 \left( R_{12}U_{2266} + T_{126}T_{226} + U_{1266}R_{22} \right) \right]$$

# General chromaticity formulae

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\Pi} \beta_0(s) \left[ S(s)D_0(s) - K(s) \right] ds$$

$$\frac{d^2\mu}{d\delta^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\Pi} \beta_1(s) \left[ S(s)D_0(s) - K(s) \right] ds + \int_0^{\Pi} \beta_0(s) S(s) D_1(s) ds - 2 \frac{d\mu}{d\delta}$$



$$\frac{\beta_1(s)}{\beta_0(s)} = \frac{1}{\beta_0(s)} \frac{d\beta}{d\delta}(s) = -\frac{1}{2 \sin(\mu_0)} \int_s^{s+\Pi} \left[ S(s') D_0(s') - K(s') \right] \beta_0(s') \times \\ \times \cos \left( \mu_0 - 2|\mu(s') - \mu(s)| \right) ds'$$

$$D_1(s) = \frac{dD}{d\delta}(s) = -\frac{\sqrt{\beta_0(s)}}{\sin(\mu_0/2)} \int_s^{s+\Pi} \sqrt{\beta_0(s')} \left[ S(s') D_0(s') - K(s') \right] \times \\ \times D_0(s') \cos \left( \frac{\mu_0}{2} - |\mu(s') - \mu(s)| \right) ds'$$


T. Sen and M. Syphers "Second Order Chromaticity of the Interaction Regions in the Collider"

- ☞ Telescope because of easy tuning and simplicity of chromatic analysis.
- ☞ Two pairs of sextupoles at  $n\pi$  phase advance from two FF lenses respectively and  $-I$  map inside the pair.
- ☞ CRAB sextupole at  $\mu_x = \pi m$  and  $\mu_y = \pi(2n + 1)/2$  from IP and zero dispersion.
- ☞ Additional sextupoles: low beta functions but high beta chromaticity, high second order dispersion, weaker than main sextupoles.
- ☞ Octupoles: high beta and dispersion.

# Final lens trajectories



# H invariant




*SIR ff telescope*

*Crab sextupole and beta chromaticity correction*

*Unix version 8.51/15*

*15/09/08 15.01.34*

*HINV*




$$\delta_E / p_0 c = 0.000000E+00$$

*Table name = TWISS*




# Nonlinear elements SIR


$\delta=0$




$\delta=+0.01$




$\delta=-0.01$



$\delta=0$




$\delta=0$



# Chromatic functions



RINGIP2



$$\delta_E / p_0 c = 0.000000 E+00$$

Table name = TWISS

RINGIP2




Table name = TUNES





Table name = SPECIAL

# Survey plot of IR



# Conclusion

- ➡ Designed interaction region provides luminosity of  $10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  with not extreme parameters.
- ➡ There is freedom in beam-beam tune shift, which allows to decrease coupling coefficient and increase luminosity.
- ➡ The presented interaction region satisfies all geometrical constraints.
- ➡ Sufficient energy aperture ( $\pm 1\%$ ) is obtained.
- ➡ Dynamic aperture is small and requires further optimization.